Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form			
Application No.: 10/078815 First Named Applicant: Adam Schram Examiner: Avi Gold Art Unit: 2157 Status of Application: Under non-final			
Tentative Participants: (1) Clark Tablon (2) Adam Schran			
(3) Examiner Gold (4)			
Proposed Date of Interview: Monday, September 11, Proposed Time: 1:30 (AMI/(M))			
Type of Interview Requestedy (1) Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video Conference			
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: YES			
Issues To Be Discussed			
Issues Claims/ (Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s- Prior	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) Rej. ole) Lig. Prior All pending Art Reck Kopf Easty et al.	[]	[]	[]
(2)	[]	[]	[]
(3)	[]	[]	[]
[] Continuation Sheet Attached	[]	[]	[]
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:			
See attached agenda			
An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on			

This collection of Information is required by 37 CFR 1333. The Information is required to delite or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USFTO to process) an application. Confidentiating is get men on \$9.8 LS.G. 126. and 7.CFR 131 and 141. The collection is enclusated to take 2.2 minutes to 1.2 minutes to 2.2 mi

Agenda for proposed personal interview

In a telephone call on August 22, 2006, Examiner Gold requested that Applicants submit an agenda of proposed topics for review. Applicants request that these topics be discussed at a personal interview to be held on September 11 @ 1:30 pm.

- Difference between the claimed "different pre-defined group of network configuration settings" and Rehkopf's a different combinations of a floating variables and fixed system performance variables.
- Potential clarifying claim language for claims 7 and 34 if no agreement can be reached on the patentability of the difference highlighted in topic 1, but an agreement can be reached on potentially patentably distinguishing clarifying claim language.
- 3. Use of newly applied Eceasty reference to meet step (e) limitation in claims 13 and 40 wherein network configuration settings and aggregate test results associated with other client machines are used for optimizing network configuration settings for a user's client machine.
 - a. Eceasty relates to aggregating past <u>content</u> selections of users so as to configure an endpoint server with content that is most likely to be requested.
 - Difference between using aggregation concepts for content selection queuing vs. using aggregation concepts for selecting network configuration settings.